G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

C5 265-05-11 B

19 12. 13

(Court)

File No. 243-12

Serial Nos. 1–7.

Later references.

ex 96-15-1

" 113-16(1-11)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–7

Part B for October 1914.

SUBJECT.

Recovery of the amount due from Bheema Rao late Hd Munshi, City Magts Court, Mysore being the value of certain missing jewels

---

From The D.C. Mysore Dt J 2569 dy 15-3-13

Forwards copy of a communication received from the Dt Judge, Mysore, regarding the recovery of the amount due from Bheema Rao, & solicits orders if an application may be filed on behalf of Govt, & if so, requests sanction for an advance of ~~Rs~~ 10/ to cover the initial expenses

2 Do The Comptroller, the D.C. Mysore. J 2955 6 dy 4-4-1913.

Approves of the proposal by the D.C. & sanctions the advance of Rs 10/- asked for

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

h. G Lonsdale

21/11/14

3 From The D. C. Mysore J 620 of 24.4.13

Recommends that Bheema Rao may also be absolved from the responsibility on account of his death, as in the case of one Chelaviah under the circumstances represented

4. From The D.C. Mysore. J 642 of 3.5.13

Forwards another communication from the Publics Prosecutor, Mysore Dn. requesting that the matter may be expedited.

5. To The D.C. Mysore Dist J 3766 of 9.6.13.

States that the claim may be made on the footing of it being for a penalty imposed by duly constituted authority.

6. From The D.C. Mysore. 87 of 25/7/14.

Requests further sanction of ~~Rs~~ 2/- being given to the public prosecutor

7. To The D.C. Mysore
The Comptr — } J. 961-2 of 10 10/14.

Sanctions the addl adv of ~~Rs~~ 2/-.

G.R.S. 11] 1912-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES

File No. 243 of 1912-13

FILE HEADING.

Recovery of the amount due from Bhima Rao, late Head Munshi in the Court of the City Magistrate, Mysore, being the value of certain missing jewels

From

The Deputy Com., Mysore Dist.

No. 572 Dated 11-3-1913 Received 15-3-1913

Diary No. J2569	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards copy of a communication received from the Dist. Judge, Mysore, regarding the recovery of the amount due from Bhima Rao, and solicits orders if an application may be filed on behalf of Govt, and if so, requests sanction for an advance of Rs 100- to cover the initial expenses.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

According to G.O. No. J 68-71/65 265-05-11, dt 4-7-1912, the sum due from Bhima Rao is Rs 333-5-4, which was ordered to be recovered in monthly instalments of one-fourth of the pension drawn by him. It is not known when Bheema Rao dies and whether any

P.T.O.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-9-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G5 File No. 243 of 1912-13

Serial No.

1569

instalment the recovery had commenced at all before his death.

2) The District Judge of Mysore states in his letter to the Dy Com^r that a sum of Rs 254-13-5 realised from the assets of the deceased Bhima Rao has shortly to be distributed amongst the Scheduled creditors of which the Govt is not one, and that the deceased has left no other realisable property.

T
2nd Encl to Sl No 1

3) The Public Prosecutor of Mysore opines That Govt will have to prove their claim as against the scheduled creditors but that they can claim priority and get the full amount now in Court, if their claim also is scheduled.

Z
1st Encl to Sl No 1.

4) Sub^d for orders with a draft sanctioning the proposal of the Dy Com^r, Mysore.

Perfer under Disposal

KG-129
26/3/13 SW

5) The claim may be put in for the amount now remaining in Court

6) Draft sanctioning the proposal is put up for approval

Dy Secy)

SR 26/3

Dy Secy

27/3.

Sr Counsellor)

9/4/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

7) Draft appd by Sr Counsellor 27/3 30.3.13

Dy Secy

GIL—65,000—17-C-12.

Issue SR 2/4 1/4 Dy 2/4

P.T.C

(G. R. S. 12)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cts File No. 243 of 1912-3

Serial No.	
2	To the Comptroller & Dy comr, Mysore Dl. Nos J 2955-6 d. 4.4.13. Approves of the proposal of the Dy comr in the above and sanctions the advance of Rs 10/- asked for, subject to adjustment in due course
3.	From the Dy comr Mysore Dl. No. 620 d 24.4.1913 States that, in order to succeed in the litigation, very strong proof of the claim of Govt is necessary & that in case the decision goes against Govt, there will be grounds for other officers who have been similarly punished to pray for a modification of the G.O. nos: J 68-71 d 4.2.12 Cts 265-05-11 and recommends that Bheema Rao may also be absolved from the responsibility on account of his death as in the case of one Chelvaiah under the circumstances represented by him. Sn (c).
4)	The Dy comr states that there is not very strong proof of the claim of Govt, that the amount now in Court is not enough to fully satisfy the same;

Subd
records need with Sl 2
being bulky have been
removed. If necessary
they will be subd.

615

paper under
disposal II

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G1I—65,000—17-C-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ct File No. 243 of 191 2 – 3

Serial No.

and that the Public Prosecutor will have to be paid his fees also.

9) He therefore recommends that Bheema Rao, Hd munshi, may be freed from the responsibility

Subd for orders.

BSP
148
6/5/13

4. From the Dy Comr, Mysore Dt.
No 642 d. 3-5-13.

Smn recd.
BSP.
6/5.

Dr contn of Sl 3 forwards another communication from the Public Prosecutor Mysore Dr, requesting that the matter may be expedited. He

Paper under Sl No III

Subd for orders

BSP SM
148
6/5/13

10) It was on the recommendation of the Dt Comr that Govt first sanctioned the advance of Rs 10 to meet the expenses of filing a claim. Now he recommends just the other way. He ought to have satisfied himself first that there was proof of the claim, before referring the matter to Govt.

Subd for order

Dt Secy

SM
6/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

H File No. 243 of 1912-13

Serial No.

11) Under the circumstances now represented by the Dy Supt Commr the idea of not preferring the claim may be approved.

Dy Asst Secy
7/5/13

1st Annexation)

12 The proceedings may be dropped. I am not sure if it cannot be contended that the Court cannot go behind the order. It is a penalty to Govt imposed by legal authority and is therefore a debt due to Govt under S. 3(a) Mad. Regn. S.356 of the old C.P. Code included fines & Penalties expressly. I suppose their omission is due to 'debt' being general enough. This may be however verified by reference to Govt. Legal. Proofs.

13 As the claim is a small one, I am inclined to drop it.

8/5/13

Dy Secy 10/5 Dy Asst Secy

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Cts. 14 Draft wanted Earle

SO 12/5

3164

6

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

65 File No. 243 of 1912-13

Serial No.

15) Subº with a draft waiving the recovery. After issue, the verification directed in para 12 will, if approved, be made in Leg: Secy.

Leg. - 129 SW
13/5/1913
1st Consultation.

Dy Secy) SDR
Draft approved 13/5
13/5

16) The Courts are yet closed. There is time for the order yet. The verification may first be made.

Early 15/5/13

SS 15/5 Draft 15/5 SDR 16/5

C.S. & Legs. for necessary action 16/5

Submitted

17) The question has not been discussed at all in the proceedings of the Legislative council. The chapter XX of the old C.P.C. was omitted from the new code and the Insolvency Regn based upon the Provincial Insolvency Act, 1907 was drafted and passed. In the Bill merely the words "debts due to the crown or to any local authority" occur

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

and the word debts due to the crown have been interpreted as to include "all debts fines and penalties due by insolvent to Govt" mentioned in the old C.P.C., 1882 (Br. India) S. 356 corresponding to sec: 356 of the (Mysore) ~~Code~~ C.P.C. 1884, ~~Act~~ as also all assessed taxes, land tax, property tax or income tax assessed on the insolvent, at the date of the order of adjudication (Vide S.K. Chariar's Provincial Insolvency Act.)

Flag W

(58) IW 31/5 (14) 30/5/13 The expression 'debt due to the Crown' used in Section of the Insolvency Act Regn. will include the debts, fines & penalties as mentioned in Sec. 356 of the old Code. In using the word 'debt due to the Crown, the framers of the Act based themselves on the English bankruptcy law. The test whether of a Crown debt ~~has been defined~~ to is a crown debt or not depends on whether, when it is realised it goes into the coffers of the State

W

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-C-12.

3164

8

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cb File No. 113 of 1912-3

Serial No.

A 'debt' includes fines + penalties as well as taxes levied the question whether the debtor has given his consent to the payment of the ~~pay~~ debt does not seem to be relevant

Dy Secy) SPA 31/5

(1st Counsellor) With reference to paras 12 & 16. Draft letter 9/6 ta

The claim may be made on the footing of its being a penalty imposed by duly constituted authority — if there is yet time.

4/6/13

Dy Sec 7/6

Draft early SPA 7/6

Cb 7/6 Draft sub.

MQ-129 SW

7/6/13

Issue SPA 7/6

11-6-13

5

To The Dy: Comr, Mysore Dist.

No J 3766 Dy 9-6-1913

State that the claim maybe made on the footing of its being for a penalty

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

359

9

[F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 243 of 1912-13

Serial No.

imposed by duly constituted Authority, if there is yet time to do so.

From the D.C. Mysore no 87 dy 23rd July 1914

Requests sanction of Govt to a further advance of Rs 2/- being given to the Public Prosecutor, in addition to the advance of Rs 10 sanctioned in 81-2 Supra

Draft written a draft sanctioning for orders

Issue

Read from Serial No. 1st Typed 8/10/14 or 8/10 BB.

7 To, The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Govt. of Mysore.
No. J961-2, dy 10-10-14.

Sanctions the additional advance of Rs 2/- asked for in Sl. 6.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

III/14/3 Cr 67/1913

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Depts

Dated 11th March 1913

Despatched 12

Received

General No. 516
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Solicits sanction for an advance of Rs 10/- to cover initial expenses for filing for recovering Govt portion from the amount of late Bhimrao Head Munshi of City Magistr's Court Mysore

GPB—50,000—26-9-12

572
2

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Dated, Mysore, 11th March 1913

DIARY No. 2569

From

K.Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District



To

K.R.Srinivasiengar, Fsquire, M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No.J 68-71/cts 265-05 O dated 4th July 1912 passing orders in the matter of recovering the value of certain missing jewels, I have the honor to ----- forward herewith a copy of communication received from the T District Judge Mysore and of a letter from the Public Prosecutor 3 regarding an application to be filed on behalf of Government claiming portion ordered by Government to be recovered from Bhima Row, from the amount held under ~~under~~ judicial deposits in the Court of the District Judge, for payment to the creditors of the late Bhima Row Head Munshi of the City Magistrate's Court, and to request the favour of Government orders as to whether such a claim may be preferred

Should the Government be pleased to order a suit to be filed, an advance of Rs 10 to cover the intial expenses may be kindly sanctioned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Encl to CS 243-12 (3)

Letter No.335 dated 5-3-1913 from the Public Prosecutor Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

Sir,

In accordance with the instructions contained in your docket No.6502 dated 28-2-13/1-3-13 I have the honor to forward herewith a petition for your signatures and return.

On going through the Government Proceedings No.J 68-71 /cts 265-05 dated 4th July 1912 I find that the Government has itself imposed on Bheema Rao &&&&&& the liablity of paying 1/3 rd of ----- Rs 1000 without Bheema Rao concurring in such decision.

As you have to prove your claim as agaist, third parties (scheduled creditors) I think very strict proof of the liablity will be required from you

If once your name is entered in the schedule of creditors you can claim priority over other creditors and get the full amount now in court.

A Vakalat is herewith sent for your signature and return.

I have etc.

(Sd) B.Krishnamachar

Public Prosecutor

" Copy "

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Encl to SC No.1 (4)

Letter No.2956/611 dated 25th February 1913 from the District Judge, Mysore Division to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

Sir,

In reply to your No.4732 dated 4th January 1913, I have the honor to intimate that a sum of Rs 254-13-5 realized from the &&&&& assets of the deceased Bheema Row late Head Munshi of the City Magistrate's court, Mysore and petitioner in Miscellaneous No.89 of 1905-6 on the file of this court is held in Judicial deposit and it has to be distributed among the scheduled creditors who have proved their claims.

From a perusal of the insolvency proceedings it is ascertained that the insolvent possessed some landed property and house at Hare Samudra villge in Anantapoor District valued at Rs 100/- but the criditors were not very particular in including the above as they were situate outside the Province and D.Nanjappa &&&&&&&&&&&&&& receiver in his report dated 7th July &&&& 1908 stated that the insolvent told him that these properties were not in his possession and enjoyment.

He (D.Nanjappa) was thereupon directed to distribute the realizations among the scheduled creditors. But he died in ---- October last and the distribution of the money is delayed pending the appointment of a fresh receiver in his stead.

On behalf of Government you may if so advised, put forward a claim therefor to realise the amount ordered to be recovered from the late Bheema Row on account of the value of the missing jewels.

I have etc.

(Sd) R.B.Plumer

District Judge.

" Copy "

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

G.R.S. 28]

-65 File No. 243 of 1912-13
Serial No. 2

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* . 191 .

Date of issue 4-4-13

Signed by Mr S.P. Rajagopalachar

Paper under disposal

READ—

Govt Progs. no. J 68-71/65 265-05-11, & the 4th July 1912, ordering the recovery in equal shares, of Rupees one thousand being the value of certain missing jewels from the three officials ~~ORDER THEREON. No.~~ responsible for the loss.

2) Letter no. 572, & the 11th March 1913, from the Dy. Com., Mysore D., regarding orders of Govt as to whether a claim may be preferred for a

P.T. over.

SUBJECT.

A Sum of Rs 254- 13- 5 ~~ascertained~~
being the Amount realized from
the assets of the deceased Bheema Rao,
one of the officials responsible for the
loss, and ascertained to remain
in Judicial deposit for distribution
amongst the scheduled creditors of
the deceased and asking for an
advance of Rs 10/- to cover the
initial expenses in the proceedings.

Order thereon — J2955-6/08-243-12-2
5 4-4-13

The proposal of the Dy: Coll^r
to prefer a claim for the amount
now remaining in deposit is
approved and the advance of Rs 10/-
asked for is sanctioned, subject to adjustment
in due course. The result of the proceedings taken by the Dy
Coll^r should be reported for the information of Govt.

Sd/ 30/3/
for Offg Secy to Govt — Revenue
27/3.

Sd/ 26/3
To The Comptroller
The Dy: Coll^r, Nizam St.

Offg
KG. - 129
26/3/13

Sd/ 3/4

GPB—20,000—2-10-11

III/3074 Vol

7

From 191

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the Govt.
General and Revenue
Departments

Dated 28th April 13

Despatched

Received

General No. 620
No.

Enclosure No. 1 & (1 file sent separately per Regd. Post.)

Submits records re the case of missing jewels in the City Magistrate's Court Mysore and recommends that Bhimarao, Head Munshi of the Court may also be absorbed of his responsibility re making good of the proportionate amount

620
1 & (1 file sent separately)
Pl Regd note.

J 3105

1912-1913
SECTION C to
FILE . 243-12

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Dated Mysore 24th April 1913.

From

A.Subramanya Iyer Esquire B.A.
Dy. No. 3
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To

T.R.Srinivasa Iyengar Esquire M.A.
Offg. Secretary to Government
Genl and Rev. Departments

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No.J955-6cts 243-12-2 dated 4.4.13 regarding a claim petition to be filed for the payment to Government of a sum of Rs.254 payable by the District court of Mysore to the creditors of the late Pheema Rao Head Munshi of the City Magistrate's court, in satisfaction of the amount ordered to be recovered from him in G.O. No J68-71 cts 265-05-11 dated 4-2-1912, I have the honor to state that the Public Prosecutor who was consulted in the matter says that in order to succeed in the litigation very strong proof of the claim of Government to the amount is needed. A copy of the Public prosecutors letter is enclosed. I am not sure that such proof is forthcoming and in the event of the decision going against Government, there will be grounds for other officers, who have similarly been punished to pray for a modification of the Government order.

Further it appears to me that Government having been pleased to absolve Chelluviah from his responsibility on account of his death they may do the same as regards Pheema Rao also who was dead before the Government order of July 1912 was passed.

Moreover the amount now in court is not enough to fully satisfy the claim of Government if approved; and the Public Prosecutor will have also to be paid his fees. In these circumstances I am of opinion that the claim is not worth urging

I therefore recommend that the right to recover money from Pheema Rao may also be waived as in the case of Cheluviah, Head constable; the other officials being ordered to pay what they have been already required to pay viz. Rs. 333-5-4 each.

The entire records of the case are enclosed for reference and return.

I have the honor to be.

sir,

your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Encl to. US 243-2-3 (10)

Letter No.335 dated 5.3.1913 from the Public Prosecutor-Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner- Mysore District.

Sir,

In accordance with the instructions contained in your docket No. 6502 dated 28.2.13 (1-3-13) I have the honor to forward herewith a petition for your signatures and return.

On going through the Govt. Proceedings No. J 68-71/cts 265-05 dated 4th July 1912 I find that the Government has itself imposed on Bheema Rao the liability of paying 1/3rd of Rs.1000/ without Bheema Rao concurring in such decision.

As you have to prove your claim as against third parties (scheduled creditors) I think very strict proof of the liability will be required from you

If once your name is entered in the schedule of creditors you can claim priority over other creditors and get the full amount now in court

A Vakalat is herewith sent for your signature and return.

I have etc.,

sd B.Krishnamachar

Public Prosecutor

"copy"

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

III
95

1913

11

From The Deputy-Commissioner Mysore

To The Secretary to Govt of Mysore General and Revenue Departments

Dated 3rd May 13

Despatched

Received

General No. 642

No.

Enclosure No. 1

Submits a reference from the public Prosecutor re a claim petition to be filed before the District Judge re the recovery of the value of missing Jewels from Bhimarao Lali Hard Mumshi

GPB—50,000—26-9-12

12 647
1

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Dated, Mysore, 3rd May 1913.

From

A.Subramanya Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District



To

K.P.Srinivasiengar, Esquire, M.A.,

Secretary to Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No 620 dated 28.4.13 re a claim petition to be filed on behalf of Government before the Court of the District Judge of Mysore for the recovery of the value of the missing jewels from Bheema Row late Head Munshi of the City Magistrate's Court, I have the honor to forward a copy of another communication from the Public Prosecutor Mysore and to request the favor of early instructions from Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

HJ

(12

Letter No.406 dated 2-5-13 from the Public Prosecutor Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

"

Ent C 5243-12-4

Sir,

In accordance with your docket No.6502 dated 28-2-13/1-3-13 I had sent for your signature and return a petition and a vakalat for being filed in the Court of the District Judge Mysore praying that your name may be included in the list of creditors and that the amount in the hands of the court may be paid to you in part satisfaction of the amount due to Government from Bheema Rao late official of the City Magistrate's court Mysore on account of the value of certain jewels lost in that court. I regret to inform you that the same have not yet been returned signed. If the petition is not filed and your name is not included in the schedule before the money is distributed among the late Bheema Rao's creditors there will be left no chance of your realising any money. I therefore request you to expedite the matter and send the petition and vakalat back as early as possible so that they may be filed in court on the day on which the court reopen after the vacation. The petition and vakalat were sent to you with my docket No.335 dated 5-3-1913.

I have etc

(Sd) B.Krishnamachar

Public Prosecutor

" Copy "

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

9.6.13 Directing that a claim be preferred against the estate of the late Bheema Rao. 13

G.R.S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 9-6-13

Signed by Mr S.P. Rajagopalachar.

65 **File No.** 2434/191 -13

Serial No. 5

No. J 3766. Encl 1 packet of records.

To

The Deputy Com^r,

Mysore Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. 642, dt. the 3rd May 1913, in the matter of preferring a claim for the recovery of the value of certain missing jewels from the assets of the late Bheema Rao of the City Magistrate's court, Mysore, I am directed to state that the claim may be made on the forms

p.t.o. over.

SUBJECT.

J 916 9/6/13

4

of it being for a penalty imposed by duly constituted authority, if there is yet time to do so.

I have te

for see together

Ltr. - 129 SM 7/6/13

2) The entire records received with your letter no. 620 dt the 24th april 19 are returned.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.

15

No 87

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

No. J 339

Dated, Mysore, 23rd July 1914.

1914-1913
SECTION Courts
FILE No. 243-12
SERIAL No. 6

From

A.Subramanya Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

D.N.Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,

Offg.Secretary to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja

of Mysore. General and Revenue Departments.

Sir

I have the honour to state that Rs 10 had been sanctioned in G.O.No.J.2955-6/Cts.243-12-2 dated 4th April 1913 for initial expenses in the matter of the claim preferred before the Sessions Judge by the Deputy Commissioner on behalf of Government for the amount realised from the assets of the late Bhima Rao, Head Munshi of the City Magistrate's Court, who was responsible for the loss of certain jewels connected with a Criminal Case. An additional sum of Rs 2 is required the Public Prosecutor for further expenses in His.Case No.1 of 1913-14 on the file of the District Judge. I therefore request the favour of the further advance of Rs 2 being sanctioned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

From 191

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to Government
Genl & Rev Depts

Dated

Despatched 28.7.14

Received

General No. 87.

No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting to sanction
a further advance of Rs 2/-
to public prosecutor in
connection with misc. case
No 1 of 1913-14 on the file of the
District Judge.

GPB—50,000—8-1-13

11/2

G.R.S. 28.]

3/10

Abs

Re. additional advance Rs 2
to the Public Prosecutor Mysore
&c

116

Ch File No. 243-12

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to 339

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

the 19

Date of issue 10/10/16.

Signed by Sri G. Srinivasa Ayyar

READ--

Letter no 87 dy 27th July 1916 from the D.C. Mysore requesting sanction to an additional advance of Rs 2/- to the Public Prosecutor, Mysore &c, for further expenses connected with Mis

~~ORDER THEREON.— No.~~

Case no 1 of 1913-14 on the file of DC Jnd Mysore in the matter of the claim preferred by the D.C. Mysore on behalf of Govt for the amount realised from the assets of the late Hs Munshi Bhima Rao of the City

over Mysore

SUBJECT.

17

Mapes Court Mysore

Order thereon

J. 961-2

Sanctioned subject

to adjustment in due course.

GB
12/9

Yours etc

The D.C. Mysore

The Comptroller.

4854 W
11/9/14.

Compd
PB 8/10